



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,370 09/08/2000		Lester D. Nelson	FXPL-01016US0 MCF/KJD	3329
23910	7590 12/11/2003	EXAMINER HU, JINSONG		
	DUBB MEYER & LOV BARCADERO CENTER			
SUITE 400	SARCADERO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 94111	2154	H	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					^				
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	9				
		09/657,37	' 0	NELSON, LESTER D.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jinsong I	-lu	2154					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verte to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no every within the state will apply and with cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this commu	unication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 20	<u>002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	ion Papers		. 4						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) drawing(s) b tion is require	ee held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		no ano attaonou omo		02.				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language production of the foreign language productions are considered to the first sentence of the ference was included in the ference was included in the ference was included in the ference	s have bee s have bee rity docume u (PCT Rule of the certific priority urst sentence evisional apoc priority ur	n received. n received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)). fied copies not received and a 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification of the specification of the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stated. e) (to a provisional appring an Application Data and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and/or 121 since a specific part of the state and or state and o	plication) a Sheet. pecific				
Attachmen	• •								
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	<u>& 5</u> .	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152	······································				

Application/Control Number: 09/657,370 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are presented fro examination.

2. The cross references related to the application cited in the specification must be updated [i.e. update the relevant status with PTO serial number or patent number where appropriate, on pages 1-2]. The entire specification should be so revised.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-7, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baker et al. (US 5,920,303).

5. Baker is a prior art cited by Applicant on form 1449 dated to 8/30/01.

6. As per claim 1, Baker teaches the invention as claimed including a method for communicating [col. 1, lines 11-21], comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/657,370

Art Unit: 2154

accessing a conversation representation [24, Fig. 4A]; selecting the conversation representation [26, Fig. 4A; col. 15, lines 21-26]; obtaining an internal representation of a conversation element associated with the conversation representation [col. 14, lines 44-46]; and generating a audible utterance based on the internal conversation element [col. 24, lines 34-42; col. 40, lines 54-64].

Page 3

- 7. As per claims 2-4, Baker teaches the steps of accessing a plurality of conversation representations and selecting a first and a second conversation representation [col. 5, lines 20-25].
- 8. As per claim 5, Baker teaches the conversation representation is in a Graphical User Interface [col. 12, lines 17-30].
- 9. As per claims 6 and 7, Baker teaches the conversation representation is selected from a group consisting of an icon, a symbol, a figure, a graph, a checkbox, a GUI widget and a graphics button [Fig. 2b; col. 10, lines 31-35].
- 10. As per claims 15 and 18, Baker teaches the step of recording a conversation element, wherein includes text-to-speech processing [col. 24, lines 34-43].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/657,370

Art Unit: 2154

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 12. Claims 8-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (US 5,920,303) as applied to claims 1-7, 15 and 18.
- 13. As per claims 8-14 and 16-17, Baker teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claim 1. Baker does not specifically teach the steps of altering, deleting and downloading the conversation representation and element. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include altering, deleting and downloading steps in Baker's system because doing so would improve the flexibility of the system by allowing user to modify the setting of the user interface. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify Baker's system to improve the functionality of the system.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Bossi et al. (US 6,421,425) discloses a communication system; and Schwelb et al. (US 5,950,123) discloses a cellular telephone network.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/657,370

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinsong Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306 – 5932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax number for Group 2100 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

Jinsong Hu

December 8, 2003

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100